NATIONALISM TODAY
The Radical Voice of British Nationalism
40p

NATIONAL FRONT

THE WAY FORWARD

Mapping Out The Road To Power (Pages 10-12)

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.
Tel. 01-684-0271

Editor: Joe Pearce

Editorial Staff:
Nick Griffin, Nick Wakeling, Jim Capes, John Field, Steve Brady.

Nationalism Today is the radical voice of British Nationalism. It is printed, published and distributed by the N.T. Press. Editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors – and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor, *N.T.* or the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in, or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication. These should be typed in double-spacing, and normally be no longer than 1,250 words. No payment is made for articles published – which become *N.T.* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alterations to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must gain the permission of the Editor and under-take to include with the re-printed article the author's name – and the name and address of *Nationalism Today.*

BULK RATES

10 copies £3.50 + 95p post
20 copies £6.40 + £1.67 post
30 copies £9.60 + £1.67 post
40 copies £12.80 + £2.00 post
50 copies £14.50 + £2.00 post
60 copies £17.40 + £2.20 post
70 copies £20.30 + £2.20 post
80 copies £23.20 + £2.35 post
90 copies £26.10 + £2.35 post
100 copies £26.00 + £2.50 post
200 - 499 copies: 23p each) Postal rates apply
500 copies and over: 20p ea) for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today,* 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

EDITORIAL

Issue No. 19

STRATEGY– A Radical Approach

FOR OVER sixteen years the National Front has endeavoured to bring the cause of British Nationalism to power. During those sixteen years it has fought a determined battle to make its ideas known to the British people in the face of tremendous opposition. We have faced the bricks and bottles of Marxist rioters and the smears and lies of the Zionist-controlled mass media. Yet, in spite of all our efforts the National Front does not appear to be much nearer to power now than we were ten years ago. This may not be a pleasant fact or an encouraging one, but it is a fact all the same. It is not, however, a fact which dictates the need for despondency but a fact which dictates the urgent necessity for a radical reappraisal of the Party's strategy.

The National Front must become an efficient and well organised fighting machine if it is to grab hold of the reins of power in Britain. We must ditch many of the old and failed ideas and must adopt a new and radical strategy which will enable us to rise to power in the years ahead. If we do not learn from many of our past mistakes we will be doomed to repeat them time and time again.

Now is the time for change, and with this in mind, *Nationalism Today* is launching a strategy debate as from this issue. On pages ten and eleven, Joe Pearce has written an article which takes a general look at the way in which the NF must reorganise if it is to become a serious contender for power. Meanwhile, on page twelve, Adrian Wiltshire offers a controversial view of how the NF's internal structure may be changed in order to turn it into a well organised and healthy fighting machine.

These two articles will only be the first in a series of articles dealing with various aspects of the strategy debate which will be published in the following few issues of *N.T.* Any readers who feel that they have something to contribute to this crucial debate are asked to write either letters or articles for possible publication since all views will need to be considered before we can be sure that the new radical strategy will be the correct one when it is finally adopted.

Most important of all, however, will be the need for this new and radical strategy to be **implemented** as soon as it is agreed upon. A debate on strategy will be a totally worthless and facile exercise if it is only confined to ideas on paper and never transformed into ideas in action Consequently, *N.T.* will be pressing for a major change in the Party's strategy to be fully and wholeheartedly put into practice.

If the National Front fails to change its present strategy, it will very soon begin to resemble an old and tired man plodding half-heartedly down a road which leads to nowhere in particular except perhaps oblivion. If, however, we wholeheartedly adopt a new and vigourous strategic approach which will enable us to fight the establishment on our terms and on many other fronts, we will resemble a youthful and energetic warrior striding confidently down the road which leads eventually to power.

A Lad Insane

ROCK STAR David Bowie has just given £93,500 to people in Brixton. The money was raised at a special benefit gig last summer. The vast majority of the fans at the concert, like the people who buy Bowie's records, were White. Bowie himself born in born in Brixton and was once known for his racist views. But like most pop stars, he was "re-educated" and corrupted on his way to the top.

White pensioners in Brixton are unable to go out after dark for fear of being mugged, while the remaining youngsters in the area do not have a single youth club they can go into, unless they surrender their identities to become "White Niggers". But David Bowie has now made so much money that he doesn't have to slum it in Brixton any more, so he has shown off his new "social awareness" by giving all the money to the group of Uncle Toms known as the Brixton Neighbourhood Community Association.

The head of the BNCA accepted the money with glee, claiming that he "had always been a fan of David Bowie" and saying that he was thinking of naming the new premises that the money would buy "David Bowie House".

Sadly for David, however, his gesture has not been appreciated by the more militant "Black Power" groups in the area. As the South London Black magazine *The Sniper* commented ungratefully:

"What an honour for Black people to have their premises named after a white bisexual whose only connection with Black people is that he's had sex with a number of Black American singers (female.... I think)".

WHITE POWER!

White Power, Smash The IRA and Shove The Dove. Three great new songs from Skrewdriver, all on one record. Send £1.75 for your copy to Rock Against Communism, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

TIME TO GO HOME...

WHENEVER THE SUBJECT of repatriation is mentioned multi-racialists are always quick to conjure up images of hapless Black families, pleading for the right to stay in Britain, being shoved at gun point onto banana-boats.

Their motives are obvious; they hope that ordinary Britons will recoil at the apparent cruelty involved in uprooting immigrant families and forcibly deporting them, and will accept, if reluctantly, that there is no humane alternative to multi-racialism.

The falsity of this arguement is steadily being exposed - thanks to the large and growing number of blacks who are admitting that they are fed up living in Britain and want to "go home".

Hyacinth Wilson is one of the tiny number of qualified black social workers in Britain. Whilst working at the All Saint's Hospital in Birmingham she is in frequent contact with the ever-rising tide of blacks who require treatment for mental illnesses, caused by the excessive stress they suffer from, trying to survive in a society geared to White needs.

She speaks openly of the growing desire among blacks to leave Britain, saying that there is a *"mental exodus going on from Britain even with those who are not actually leaving. Even more would like to go than are going. But they have no savings. Many have lost contact. Others are ashamed of going back with the same suitcases they left with".*

Hyacinth Wilson fatalistically accepts the realities of White racialism in Britain - *"It's Britain for the Brits, but I'm not complaining. They fought for it, stole for it killed for it. It's theirs, every inch of it. They deserve it".* She reserves her contempt for those who profess themselves 'anti-racialists - *"the trades union and the Labour Party are worst enemies of Black people, the worst. At least you know where you stand with the Tories. You know they hate you."* One wonders how anyone can imagine that multi-racialism could ever succeed when even organisations so dedicated to anti-racialism as the Labour Party are loathed by Blacks.

George Adamson, vice-President of the West Indian Federation Association, in a recent interview explained how few West Indians had intended to stay in Britain - *"We came to England around the fifties, hoping to follow up a skill, do five years. 95% never saw themselves here long term. They came to return".* Michael Blake, a black youth worker at the Association agreed - *"If they'd known what they'd meet, they'd never have come in the first place".* According to Adamson *"The outlook is getting so bleak a lot of the kids are getting interested in leaving"*, adding that *"There's not one in a million who wants his bones to be buried in this country. The trouble is there is no way that you can save to go home".* Herman Edwards is one of the most prominent and influential blacks to have decided that, as he put it, *"It's time to split".*

Repatriation Policy

Dear Editor,

I was pleased to have read your story last week about Mr Williams and 250.000 immigrants prepared to return to their homeland. I include myself in that number. Some twelve years ago the Conservative Government sanctioned the above scheme. I wrote to my local MP who sent me a letter, received from the Conservative Home Secretary outlining their policy at that time.

I will be looking forward with interest, to see the present policy of this government on this issue. Thank you — for bringing this topic into public focus.

FREDERICK BOWEN
Ealing W5

This letter was published in The Voice, *a weekly newspaper which is read by the West Indian population in London. It illustrates the desire of many blacks to return to their countries of origin.*

'Brother Herman', as he likes to be known, was the darling of White liberals like Trevor Huddleston when in the early 1970's he was actively involved with Black-power militant Michael X. After Michael X was executed for murder in Trinidad Brother Herman opened a hostel for black youth in Islington, which was regarded as "a den of theives" by the local CID. Brother Herman's disillusionment with Britain came when a wickedly oppressive and racist Court sentenced him to six months imprisonment, simply because the unhappy Herman had obtained a government grant for his hostel, and was unable to account for a trivial £20,000 of the money he was given.

Nationalism Today doesn't actually imagine that the National Front is going to be swept to power by the votes of millions of immigrants desperate to be repatriated. Nevertheless we are certain that, when the immigrants do go home, the vast majority will only be too glad to be helped to return to their ethnic homelands; few will need to be persuaded to leave.

A GOOD YEAR FOR THE RICH

UNDER THE TORIES the rich had a good year - whilst the poor came off worst again. For Britain's well paid families topped the list of groups who found their cost of living cheaper.

These were the findings of a report by Reward Regional Surveys released earlier this year which was based on the lifestyles and spending patterns of different family groups.

The top-flight family, with a large detached house, a £47,000 mortgage, 3,500cc car, and other costs like private education and full-time domestic help, saw its cost of living **fall** by 3.55 per-cent. Thus the typical company director on about £45,000 a year is £40 a week better off.

A family in a three bedroomed semi with a 19,000 mortgage and 1100cc car, saw its living **fall** by 3.55 per-cent.

But the typical council house working class family with a 1000cc car, saw their living costs go **up** by 1.97 per-cent. This meant that the working class family was £8 a week worse off.

COOKING THE BOOKS

VIRTUALLY everyone is aware that all limited companies in Britain are obliged by law to publish annual accounts showing their results and financial position. Furthermore most people are aware that independent auditors must attest to the truth and fairness of these accounts. Few people though are aware that one powerful group of companies are exempt from these laws and can publish incorrect and misleading accounts - the Banks.

The following example shows how a Bank can manipulate its published profit level :- If a Bank makes a profit of £200m in a year it can, if it wants, report in its accounts that it made a profit of only £100m. The balance is then added to its undisclosed "Hidden Reserves". To balance its accounts the bank then understates its disclosed assets by £100m. If it then wishes to appear more profitable in a future year it can, within certain limits, add back that £100m to that year's profits, and bring its undisclosed assets back into account. In both years the bank will receive an unqualified report from its auditors.

In recent years the Banks have been heavily criticised for the vast profits they have made, due to the high interest rates prevailing and not to any increased services that they have offered society. The advantages gained by publicity conscious banks by being able to manipulate their profits and hide their gains are obvious.

Fortunately the statutory right to possess hidden reserves, and thus be able to manipulate disclosed profits, is limited at present to approximately one hundred banks - mainly Merchant Banks. Currently the London and Scottish Clearing Banks (including the 'Big Four' High Street Banks) are obliged to publish accurate accounts - to their frequent embarassment.

All this will change however in a few years time when an EEC Draft Directive on the accounts of banking and other financial institutions is likely to become law. Under the Draft's proposals **all** banks will, up to certain limits, be allowed to maintain hidden reserves and to manipulate their disclosed profits.

A look at the 1981 accounts of Britain's largest bank shows the effect this EEC Directive could have. Barclays Bank had a pre-tax trading profit of £566m, yet under the Directive they would be allowed to have hidden reserves of between zero and **£1780m.** Providing these limits were not exceeded substantial amounts could have been transfered between Barclays disclosed profits and their hidden reserves. Barclays could easily have reported a profit level that bore no resemblance to their actual results for 1981.

The ability of the Banks to win the legal privileges that enable them to avoid public accountability is concrete evidence of their power and influence in contemporary society - a power and influence that the National Front must eliminate as one of its first priorities on attaining power.

Stop the Heath Cuts!

OPPOSITION is mounting over cuts in the National Health Service.

At a recent meeting in Hackney, East London, there were scuffles and shouts of abuse at a meeting to discuss the closure of four local hospitals.

The meeting ended in uproar when a vote on the issue was tied and the Chairman, Mr. Louis Freedman, declined to use his casting vote. Property-owner and racehorse owner, Freedman, Chairman of the City & East London Area Health Authority, was pushed and jostled by protestors as he left the meeting.

Altogether, nearly 4,000 jobs will be lost in London, principally in the South East Thames, North West Thames and South West Thames Health Authority areas.

In a further protest against the Health cuts, the Tory Social Services Secretary, Norman Fowler, was jostled and jeered at a meeting in Sheffield. This followed Fowler's announcement that 1,800 jobs were to go in three regional health areas in Yorkshire.

Fowler, whose eventual aim is to cut up to 8,000 jobs, insists that the Tories are not out to kill-off the NHS, but others see otherwise. The British Medical Association, for instance says:

"Doctors are profoundly depressed about the whole thing. This is the third cut that the Government has imposed on the health authorities within the last 12 months."

"This is going to affect patients in that waiting lists will get considerably longer and emergency departments may well be closed down because there are not enough doctors".

Nationalism Today supports the BMA's view. **The Health cuts must be opposed!**

R.A.F.—The Few Get Fewer

FOUR years ago the Tory party was returned to power, assuring us that after years of defence cuts the defence of our country would be put at the top of the list of priorities. Now after the Tories have been returned to power for a second term of office, the R.A.F. is at its weakest since the mid 1930's.

Britain's air defence depends upon 48 American built F-4 Phantoms, now reaching the end of their lives after 25 years, and 24 English Electric Lightnings, even older than the Phantom, Even with the best pilots in the world, there is no doubt that these 72 aircraft would be 'easy meat' for potential enemies such as 4,800 fighters the Soviet Union has, not to mention the comparable number of potentially hostile American fighters.

LOW CAPACITY

These problems are compounded by low-production capacity at the factories that may be called upon to produce replacement aircraft, in times of emergency. Unlike during the second world war, where an aircraft could come off the production line within a few days, it now takes 26 months to build a Sea Harrier. Upon the outbreak of a conventional war, the R.A.F. would be completely wiped out before the aircraft factories could gear themselves to a higher output.



The Treasury holds all the money for defence spending, and defence is always the easiest place to save money, because people in the services are loyal servants of the crown and not allowed to complain in public. As a result all sorts of cut-backs are made, a cut in flying hours for operational aircrew for example, is reducing the efficiency of our pilots, and lowering morale. A National Front government must pledge itself to restoring the R.A.F. and the other armed services, to a proper level of strength and readiness which has over the years been eroded by other parties.

NATO: A Trojan Horse

THE RECENT NATO exercise which was thrown into chaos when the Greek Armed Forces suddenly refused to take part, only goes to underline the N.F's opposition to this International 'defence' alliance.

In this particular exercise, thousands of NATO troops were ready to begin amphibious landings on the Greek island of Lemnos. However, Lemnos is at the centre of a row between NATO-member countries Greece and Turkey, as to who controls the Aegean Sea. Thus when Turkey persuaded NATO to move the landing operations elsewhere, the Greeks promptly refused to take part in the exercise.

However, our opposition to NATO should not be based solely on farcical episodes such as this. We oppose NATO - and all other internationalist groupings - because they represent a threat to Britain's National Sovereignty. Britain's destiny should never be placed under the control of foreign powers.

The N.F. believes that Britain should pull out of the American-controlled NATO Organisation. We have no wish to die for Reagan or in any conflict which does not affect British interests.

Additionally, we oppose the siting of Cruise missiles in Britain. In fact, all U.S. military bases should be removed from British soil.

Instead, the N.F. demands that Britain assumes a position of armed neutrality. We must defend ourselves equally from attacks by Capitalist America as well as the Communist USSR!

IRA Monkey Business



"The British Government will only bow to force. Menachim Begin, Jomo Kenyatta, Robert Mugabe - the list is endless. There is no difference whatsoever between the Zimbabwean Patriotic Front and the I.R.A." – Danny Morrison, spokesman for Provisional Sinn Fein.

Shady Business in Ulster

THE sudden emergence of Nicholas Scott as Minister responsible for Security in Ulster, once again exposes the Tories utter contempt for the Loyalists of the province.

How Scott managed to gain ministerial rank is in itself something of a mystery.

As long ago as 1975 he was sacked from the Tory Shadow Cabinet because of his dislike of Thatcher - although another reason for his dismissal may have been his somewhat controversial business deals.

Scott was in the thick of the fringe banking collapse of 1971, seeing companies he was a director of being taken over at the last minute by 'South African' interests. He was also involved with London Intercontinental Trust, whose shares were suspended.

He was also befriended by Nadir Dinshaw, a close friend of Jeremy Thorpe, who gave him a gold watch and lent him £10,000 in 1975 to help with the purchase of a house.

Scott's latest accident-prone business venture was Pierrot Publishing which went into liquidation in 1981. Scott was a director of this company, and refused to attend the creditors' meeting.

Business-wise, he still remains involved in Bonus Plan, a sort of up-market Luncheon Voucher scheme run by David Heiman, son-in-law of the late Iain Macleod.

With the likes of Nicholas Scott joining James Prior to preside over Ulster's affairs, the Loyalist population would be well-advised to prepare to physically defend Ulster again.

If the Tories had any interest in Ulster, they would give the go-ahead to conduct an all-out war against the IRA. Instead they appoint shady business men like Scott and incompetant politicians like Prior to mismanage Northern Ireland's affairs.

Ulster is Forever British - no matter what Thatcher & Co. think!

Read

CANDOUR

The magazine started by the National Front's first chairman, A. K. Chesterton, is now available from the Nationalist Bookshop. Send 50p for sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

DRUGS—A Freudian Slip

A BRITISH medical researcher has issued a startling challenge to the personal credibility of Sigmund Freud, the Jewish founder of psychoanalysis. Elizabeth M. Thornton, in a new book entitled *Freud and Cocaine, The Freudian Fallacy,* alleges that Freud's major theories of human sexuality were conceived under the influence of narcotics.

Freud's experiments in the 1880's with cocaine - which he administered to friends, family and colleagues - are extensively documented. He even boasted that he'd "introduced cocaine into medicine" and for a while he advocated its use as a local anaesthetic and a remedy for dyspepsia, anaemia and asthma. Needless to say, his thesis on cocaine was swiftly discredited because it underplayed the dangers of addiction and Freud was supposed to have abandoned the drug in 1887. Miss Thornton, however, has collated evidence to indicate that Freud returned to cocaine in the early 1890's, his most creative period.

Cocaine, says Miss Thornton, "*has highly specific effects on the sexual function*". A further symptom is to induce in addicts "*a peculiar messianic obsession: the addict is gripped by an exaggerated and pathological conviction that he alone has 'the truth' and that he has an urgent mission to communicate this truth to all mankind. In many cases the message is that the whole world should enjoy the all-embracing benefits of the drug he himself uses*".

In Freud's first cocaine period, says Miss Thornton, he threw himself into promoting the medical advantages of the drug. In his second period, he preached with equal conviction his theory of the predominant role of sexuality in human behaviour and mental illness. But his own behaviour, she says, showed symptoms of an addiction to cocaine that "*cast doubts on the validity of Freud's theories.*

Sigmund Freud

PROLONGED ADDICTION

One of the things which aroused her suspicions of a prolonged drug addiction was a passage in a letter from Freud strongly reminiscent of the literature of narcotics. Writing to his friend Wilhelm Fliess, Freud revealed that: "*One evening last week when I was hard at work, tormented with just that amount of pain that seems to be the best state to make my brain function, the barriers were suddenly lifted, the veil drawn aside, and I had a clear vision from the details of the neuroses to the conditions that make consciousness possible. Everything seemed to connect up*".

Freud's intimate correspondence with Fliess also betrays a peculiar interest in the state of each other's nose. Miss Thornton interprets this as "*a nasal pathology ... concomittant with chronic regular use of cocaine*".

So Miss Thornton, by collating evidence which indicates that Sigmund Freud was addicted to cocaine, has dealt yet another hammer blow to the credibility of his theories. It is not, however, the first time that Freud's theories have been brought into disrepute. Earlier observers of his work became extremely sceptical when it was discovered that most of his patients, far from being ordinary members of the public, were neurotic Viennese Jewesses! Freud's conclusions may therefore be applicable to this particular section of the population but they can hardly be considered relevant to the rest of us!

Save The Children



A PICTURE of poverty and deprivation among thousands of children in Britain emerged from a report published in mid-October. And the report, published by the National Childrens Home, has shattered the illusion that the British are a nation of childlovers. It revealed that more than 100,000 children in England and Wales are in care. About 18,000 of them had been neglected or ill-treated. And 10,600 had been abandoned by their parents.

Additionally, economic factors still play a vital part in the survival rate of children, with 16 babies per thousand dying within the first year of life among the Working Class, compared with only 8.9 per thousand among 'professional' couples.

At a time when the Tories are slashing Social Services and Health budgets, these figures represent nothing less than a national disgrace.

With the Labour Party having sold-out the Working Class years ago, and the Liberal/SDP Alliance representing nothing but a sick joke, it is up to the National Front to raise the banner of social justice and to demand action on behalf of Britain's poverty-stricken children.

Berger Revisited...

AN INVESTIGATION into the plight of people living under one of Britain's biggest and "most secretive" landlords was called for last month.

The call was made on behalf of the tenants and leaseholders who occupy an estimated 100,000 properties throughout the UK - all owned by Sighismund Berger's Jewish property empire, which was exposed in *NT* No. 14.

While the tenants claim "harassment through years of neglect", the long lease holders complain of "enormous bills for structural repairs which they are suddenly forced to pay".

But typically, absentee-landlord Sighismund Berger doesn't care in the least about his tenants. He wasn't at home when members of the Berger Action Group marched to his home in Overlea Road, Hackney, to hand in a letter outlining their grievances. He was down at the local Synagogue....

BROEDERBOND CON-MEN STILL AT IT...

IN the article, *"The Name is Bond, Broederbond", (NT 14),* we argued that the immensely powerful South African Broederbond, founded as an Afrikaner Nationalist organisation, had in recent decades not only become degenerate, but had taken up a favourable position *vis a vis* the internationalist, multi-racially inclined capitalist system that currently afflicts the White Republic.

No doubt, those who were critical of our analysis have drawn comfort from the fact that in recent weeks there has been great turmoil within the Broederbond, turmoil that apparently gives the lie to our argument that the Bond is subversive. The cause of the trouble within this pro-capitalist freemasonry is the reformist politics of the Republic's Prime Minister, P.W. Botha, which aim at giving some role in the governance of the Nation to Indians and half breeds. *The Guardian* stated: *"Although Mr. P.W. Botha seems to have won the allegiance of the organisation's leadership for his modest (sic) constitutional reforms, there is clear evidence of wide disaffection among its rank and file".*

GRASSROOTS REVOLT

Superficially, this grassroots revolt by ordinary Broeders against the traitors that infest the higher echelons of the Bond seems to be an encouraging sign, but closer analysis demonstrates that all that glitters is not gold. Given that the Broeders in revolt are seeking to maintain South Africa's national integrity and are equally committed to the Afrikaner Nationalist vision advocated by the late Dr. Hendrik Verwoerd, one would have expected these Broeders to flock to the one political party that has consistently opposed the dilution of Verwoerdist principles, the Herstigte Nasionale party (HNP), which was formed to combat the politics of betrayal initiated by John Vorster in 1967. Yet expectation is one thing, and actual fact quite another. As a result the Broeders opposed to liberal treason have opted for the reactionary politics of Dr, Andries Treunicht's Conservative Party.

In issue 10 of *NT,* we commented that the CP would be *"a safety valve for race-mixing Big Business; it will be a movement of much noise, but no action".* We suggested that the manufacturing of this Con party was *"to divert support for the HNP into a political dead-end".* Since that analysis Broeder Treunicht has been constantly in the news; Treunicht - *"spearheading right wing Afrikaner resistance";* Treurnicht - *"leader of the extreme right wing stands his ground";* Treurnicht - *"South Africa's Great White Hope"* and so it goes on.

For months the Jew dominated media in South Africa have been carefully creating Treurnicht's 'hardline' image, confident that the naive, White electorate will regard with respect anyone vehemently abused by a widely distrusted media.. As this clever, psychological campaign has gained ground the message has become even clearer: if you oppose the liberal drift of Botha, support Treurnicht, the only real opposition. And with every column inch of 'hysteria' and 'hatred' expended by the Jews on their man Treurnicht, the HNP has been slowly but surely overshadowed - as we predicted. This is hardly surprising since the HNP have ranged against them the Capitalists, the Media, the Jews and the Broederbond. The coup de grace of Treurnicht's bandwagon has been that the Broederbond "has come to its senses" and recalled its original mission to save Afrikanerdom' "Now", says the average Afrikaner, "that much of the Bond is with Treurnicht, who can doubt his sincerity?".

Nonetheless, we reaffirm our warning: Botha and Treurnicht represent the two sides of an anti-White South African coin - reject both!! Resist Reaction and Reform, for the future of the Republic and the Whiteman can only be built upon the granite policies of the Verwoerd era. Support our comrades of the HNP, for they alone are the loyalist opposition in the Cape.

SOUTH AFRICAN PATRIOT

South Africa's leading racial nationalist magazine is now available from the National Front. Send 40p plus 15p postage to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

KOSHER BUTCHERS!



THE PUBLIC IMAGE that Israel likes to present to the world is that of a small nation yearning only for peace, but surrounded by hostile warlike states.

The reality is in stark contrast. In war Israel's massive military resources ensure that it can subjugate its Arab neighbours whenever necessary. In peace too Israel is responsible for misery, destruction and death on an unbelievable scale - for Israel is virtually a nation of arms manufacturers. Despite having a population of under 4 million Israel is one of the largest arms traders in the world, apart from the two 'super-powers'.

No less than a third of Israel's workforce is employed in the arms industry. 250 Government and private-sector plants throughout the country churned out arms exports in 1981 (the last year that figures are available) of $1,250 million - the equivalent of 21% of all industrial export sales. Moshe Mandelbaum, Governor of the Bank of Israel, has admitted that it's only the dollars "earned" from arms sales that keeps Israel's debt-ridden economy solvent.

Israelis care little about who they supply arms to, unconcerned with the human suffering that might ensue. Indeed Israel will happily supply both sides in a conflict with arms:- as long as they both pay hard cash it's good business. Not only does Israel supply most of Latin America (including Argentina during the Falklands War) but it also sells arms to the Far East, including Communist China.

Apparently forgetting the suffering that the Jews claim to have incurred at racialist hands Israel is quite prepared to sell arms to racist states. South Africa is in fact her biggest customer!

Of course Israel does have one advantage over other countries when it comes to arms sales, since it can show that its weapons are are "battle-tested". After all not even 'hard-sell' American arms salesmen have ever thought of machine-gunning the innocent inmates of refugee camps to prove their machine guns work.....

DEREK HOLLAND

OUR RADICAL ROOTS

William Cobbett



John Ruskin

Hiliare Belloc

G.K Chesterton

OVER the last three and a half years, *Nationalism Today* has endeavoured to assist in the development of the National Front's ideology. I believe that our efforts in this regard have been very fruitful and that we have succeeded in articulating a set of values and ideas which will prove a strength in the years of struggle which lie ahead. Only if we are able to propagate an ideology which represents a real alternative to the bankrupt ideas of Marxism and Capitalism will we have any chance at all of seizing power in the future.

However, it is essential that every National Front member knows where our ideas come from, where our ideology has its roots. Capitalism can trace back its roots to Adam Smith's *Wealth of Nations*, in which the principle of the 'division of labour' was outlined, and to the so-called Manchester School. Marxism, on the other hand, can trace its roots to *Das Kapital* and *The Communist Manifesto* and to the more recent writings of Trotsky and Lenin.

But where do the roots of our ideology lie, and do our views have an intellectual tradition to back them up which can equal, if not surpass, that of the Capitalists and Marxists?

Certainly our radical Nationalist ideology is vibrant and alive whilst the self-contradictory ideologies of the traditional 'left' and 'right' are seen to be ageing, decadent and stagnant. But that doesn't mean to say that our views are devoid of a proud intellectual tradition. On the contrary, radical Nationalism can boast an ideological tradition every bit as good, if not better, than our enemies and that tradition can be traced back many years.

COUNTER–ATTACK

A convenient place to start might be with William Cobbett who led the counter-attack against the Agrarian and Industrial Revolutions at the turn of the 19th century. Cobbett was a patriot and a racialist who deplored the power which was vested with Jewish bankers and money-lenders, but he was also concerned with the defence of what remained of small property in England against what he called "*the cotton craft and the usurer craft*". He used these two terms to describe what in fact were the beginnings of the two branches of capitalism; industrial capitalism and financial capitalism.

Cobbett used his weekly *Cobbett's Register* to rally the working people against the evils of the ascendent capitalist system and he wrote books such as *Rural Rides* and *Cottage Economy* to propagate his views and to educate cottagers and husbandmen in the art of self-sufficiency. Cobbett was such an influencial opponent of the industrialism of pre-Victorian Britain that even today he ranks alongside people such as Henry Hund and Francis Plaice as one of the greatest radical thinkers of the time.

William Cobbett laid down one of the fundamental tenets of our Nationalist ideology - that the freedom of the individual and the ultimate well-being of the Nation can only be secured by the widely distributed ownership of productive property. Freedom here is not to be confused with the Marxist demand for "Liberty" which is a rejection of the natural bonds of duty which bind a man to his family, his community and his motherland. Cobbett's idea of freedom was the right of men to own the land upon which they lived and worked, in a spirit of unity and co-operation with their fellow countrymen, according to the laws, customs and decencies of the Folk. This implies a cultural consensus which transcends the generations of men. Such consensus is called tradition; and tradition, G.K. Chesterton asserted in *Orthodoxy*, is the enlargement of Democracy through time; *"the proxy of the dead and the enfranchisement of the unborn"*.

CULTURAL CREED

This mention of culture is not a digression, since Nationalism is by definition a cultural creed which cannot be understood within the confines imposed by economics alone. This is another facet of our ideology which sets us apart from capitalism and communism both of which measure reality in purely economic terms. Consequently, we find other key figures in the building of nationalist ideology to be concerned with cultural as well as economic outlooks. Thus we find Ruskin challenging the consensus amongst the 19th century Liberal 'Enlightment' that artistic culture began with the Renaissance, and William Morris fascinated by Nordic culture and the Icelandic Sagas.

However, neither Morris nor Ruskin were solely concerned with cultural matters. They were both in the forefront of the resistance against industrialism and they both sought to return to traditional craftsmanship as a remedy for the soulessness and despiritualisation caused by the 'division of labour' in capitalist enterprises. Morris spoke out against the massive urban agglomerations which were defacing the British countryside in books such as *News From Nowhere*, while Ruskin formed the Guild of Saint George, a venture typical of many attempts at the restoration of crafts, guilds and proprietorship, which culminated at the turn of this century in the launching of *The New Age* by A.R. Orage.

Orage had persuaded the Fabian Society to finance *The New Age* as a critical review. However, editing it had crystallised Orage's own thinking which he called "guild Socialism". A nationwide movement grew up around *The New Age* and the guild Socialist ideas it propagated, but by this time the Fabians had already travelled irreversibly down the road towards the State Socialism which characterises the Labour Party today. They found themselves in fundamental disagreement with Orage's essentially Nationalist ideas and they launched *The New Statesman*, first to counter the influence of, and later destroy. Orage's paper. However, the legacy left by Orage and *The New Age* resulted in the birth of the Distributist Movement.

DISTRIBUTISM

It was Hilaire Belloc who actually coined the term "Distributism". In *The Servile State* and *The Essay On The Restoration Of Property*, Belloc took the terminology of classical Economics and built from it a logical case for Distributism as the guarantor of human freedom: "*If we do not restore the Institution of Property, we cannot escape restoring the Institution of Slavery; there is no third course*".

So what were the tenets of Distributism as they emerge from Belloc's thinking?

First, he stipulated that the social objective must be to ensure that as many of the families in the Nation own productive property of their own and that this property must be protected against acquisitive wealth.

Secondly, he stated that the making of things, whether for subsistance, comfort or ornament, is not simply an "economic" activity, as Marxists and Capitalists maintain, but a human activity, part of the personal integrity of the maker. He recognised the organic relationship, embodied in what we call craftsmanship, which exists between the maker and the object and he called for the organisation of production to be based upon this fact.

Finally, he emphasised that men are moulded by their National community into their human identity, and the Nation therefore constitutes the natural unit of mankind; both Imperialism and Internationalism are pathogenic to the Nation.

The other person inalienably associated with Distributism, besides Belloc, is G.K. Chesterton. The partnership between these two began with the founding of *The Eyewitness* by Belloc and Cecil Chesterton, a paper forever famous for its exposure of the Marconi scandal and which was subject to the vengeance of the Establishment for its stance on the Boer War, Unlike the Liberal-Pacifists who were against the war because "war is wrong", *The Eyewitness* was pro-Boer because it said that the Boer farmers were right to fight for their little Agrarian Republics against International Finance and the Gold Interest in South Africa which was predominantly Jewish.

As the ideas labelled "Distributism" clarified, the title of *The Eyewitness* was changed

to *The New Eyewitness*. Cecil Chesterton had been killed during the 1914-18 War, but G.K. Chesterton and Belloc continued publication in the post-war period. After its failure both of them continued to expound their ideas in Orage's *New Age* and, later still, Chesterton launched his own paper, *G.K's Weekly*, in reminiscence of *Cobbett's Weekly*, and a number of people formed the Distributist League.

DISTRIBUTIST LEAGUE

After G.K. Chesterton's untimely death in 1936, the paper was sold to the Distributist League and edited under the new title of *The Weekly Review* by H.D.C. Pepler. The paper survived the war and was instrumental in the conversion of Douglas Hyde, news editor of the *Daily Worker* to the Distributist cause. Hyde was instructed by the Politbureau to expose the "nest of Fascists" running *The Weekly Review*. Reading the paper week by week, for material, he found his Marxism slowly demolished by the spirit of health and sanity which the paper had inherited from Chesterton and Belloc.

A Distributist Society existed at Glasgow University until 1956 and when A.K. Chesterton launched the League of Empire Loyalists in 1954 the first people to rally to his side were the Distributists.

So there we have the final direct link between our radical roots and the National Front itself. The League of Empire Loyalists joined up with the British National Party in 1967 to form the National Front. A.K. Chesterton was the N.F.'s first chairman.

We in the N.F. are, therefore, the true heirs of radical Nationalist thought in Britain. It is up to us to carry that distinction with pride and to develop Nationalist ideology in the same spirit as those great men who laid the very foundations of radical Nationalist thought.

Find Out More About Our Radical Roots



Yesterday and Tomorrow is available from Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. Price £2.20 including postage.

Roots

A series of articles featuring quotes from some of the people who laid the foundations of Nationalist ideology.

Douglas Reed

DOUGLAS REED was the central European correspondent for The Times *during the 1930's and wrote a succession of best-selling books which expounded his political interpretation of current affairs at the time. He met Otto Strasser during this period and wrote* Nemesis, *a biographical account of Strasser's political struggle.*

Douglas Reed remained a committed anti-Zionist throughout the whole of his life and his final work, The Controversy Of Zion, *served as a fitting testament to this great patriot's lifelong campaign against the power of organised Jewry.*

ON STATISM ...

"No priest may hope to be a bishop, or lawyer to become a judge, or civil servant to be made head of a department unless he be of the most unremitting diligence in saying "yes". The smallest word of "criticism", and he is blackmarked for a long time, possibly for ever".

" 'The State' is officialdom, the implacable enemy of all human freedom and dignity. 'The State' is that great army of exclusive and exempt and privileged and mutually back-scratching officials which we already have - multiplied by a thousand. 'The State' is NOT the community of all citizens, all of equal rights and duties. 'The State' is a new ruling class of officials, great and petty, far more immune, immutable and immovable than our present rulers".

ON MATERIALISM ...

"The British people rightly feel that what is called "the standard of living" will slowly rise as the decades pass. But they begin to perceive that all this means less than nothing, unless the standard of the spirit's living also rises, unless faith in the contemporary world and hope for the future return to oust the present hopeless cynicism".

ON MECHANISATION ...

"Even the silliest must begin to suspect that mechanical advance can profit them nothing if the spiritual standard of living ceaselessly declines".

"We seemed for centuries to move slowly but surely towards 'civilisation', through the liberation of slaves and serfs and bondsmen and small nations and the gradual raising of the conception of human dignity and the rights of man, but since the coming of the machine and the rise of the machine-barons, we have been moving just as perceptively away from it".

ON THE MEDIA . . .

"For the few very rich men who control the newspapers of this country are too closely affiliated with the system to offend it by opening their columns unstintedly to the truth".

"The pathetic faith of the British people in black hieroglyphics on white paper is an abundant source of future disasters".

Truth is a thing of many facets, and all the British newspapers print some truth all the time. But no single British newspaper gives its readers all the truth as it knows it, or strives to put before them a continuous, day-by-day, coherent, intelligent picture of the truth as far as its mortal eye can comprise that. Each is subject to behind-the-scene influences and interests which prohibit the publication of this, or lay misleading emphasis on that. All are the profit-earning enterprises of rich people".

"The activity of the press has been to delude, more than to enlighten".

ON THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION ...

"The majority of the leaders, in the first phase were Jewish, not Russian, and the administration, the civil service, officialdom, was soon packed with officials who were Jewish, not Russians".

ON CREDULITY ...

"All the people, being equally credulous, for Spencer truly said that most men would rather die than think, are hoodwinked alike. Some for whom defeat is intended, are promised victory. Others are cast in bonds while they think to strive for liberty".

"While they all dance marionette-like, on their strings, the wirepullers pocket the spoils and tell them that it is sweet and honourable to die for their country".

"As parrots never learn to "talk", but only reproduce sounds they hear, so an Englishman will, by striking his tongue against his palate and placing his lips in various slopes, emit noises recognisable as "I fight for democracy", "I fight for freedom and liberty", "I am fighting this war to end war", "scratch pretty Polly", and the like. It is as if the man in the iron mask should cry, "How free am I, how unbowed is my head, how clear my vision, how wide my world!".

ON LIBERAL DEMOCRACY ...

"This party system, as it has been perfected inside our parliament, acts as a filter through which only lesser and frailer man may pass and rise to the top; for what staunch patriot would accept the regime of the piece of sugar, the muzzle and the whip?".

ON PATRONAGE ...

"Patronage" is a genteel synonym for a very bad system, the relegation of unpurchasable men in favour of hirelings; only evil can come of it for this country".

JOE PEARCE

THE WAY FORWARD!

JOE PEARCE discusses the way forward for the National Front in the years ahead.

"If a man is not prepared to die for his ideas, either he or his ideas are useless".
– Ezra Pound.

THE NATIONAL FRONT is the last outpost of White civilisation and White culture in Britain. If we fail in our struggle to overthrow multi-racialism and internationalism then **all** is lost for the White man in these islands. Once this is understood, the supreme importance of our task cannot possibly be overemphasised. Either we succeed in our struggle to save our Race and Nation, or the White man will disappear from the face of the earth forever. There is no third way. This is the stark reality.

This being the case, it is assuredly time for the National Front to look at itself critically and to ask whether we are **capable** of saving our Race and Nation. I believe the only future the N.F. can look forward to, if it continues on its present course, is one of continual failure. We are currently embarked on an ever-decreasing spiral of smaller and smaller marches followed by even smaller election results. We must break out of this deadly spiral before the National Front disappears forever down the plug-hole of history.

I am aware that such a harsh description of the N.F's present strategy will shock and maybe even offend some National Front members. But it is only a harsh description because it is, in fact, the harsh reality. **Waving our hands in despair, or burying our heads in the sand will solve nothing. Neither will hopeless disillusionment. We must fight with all our hearts and all our energy, to change the National Front's strategy, to put the Party we love on a road which will lead not to oblivion, but to power. The future of our Race depends upon it . . .**

ABOVE POLITICS

The first thing we must understand if we are to put the National Front on the right road to power, is that the N.F. is not just another political party. We are **not** in the same business as the Margaret Thatchers, the Neil Kinnocks or the David Steels of this world. We do not seek merely to make policies designed to improve our own lives during our own lifetimes. On the contrary, we see this type of mere politics to be the worst kind of selfishness. We consider that we are the guardians of our Nation's heritage and the protectors of its destiny. We are only links in a chain which in the past has included such heroes of our people as Alfred the Great and Edward 1, or geniuses such as William Shakespeare and William Cobbett. We could not betray the inheritance which these people have bequeathed to us, any more than we could betray the inheritance which we owe to the generations of our Nation yet to be born.

In short, our Struggle and our Cause is eternal and unchangeable. Our duty is to pass the seeds of our Race and Culture onto future generations of our people, undamaged and unpolluted.

Therefore, if our struggle is above mere politics, it is absolutely vital that we don't restrict our fight solely to the political level. Our struggle is philosophical; our struggle is cultural; and our struggle is spiritual. And if this is the case it is crucial that our strategy is radically changed to reflect this.

BATTLE OF IDEAS

On the philosophical level, we **must** win the battle of ideas.

As British Nationalists, we know that our ideas stand up well to any opposing philosophies or ideologies. We have failed dismally, however, in our efforts to get our ideas over to the people, even though there is no reason whatsoever why this should be the case.

The time is now ripe for a new set of values to take root with the youth of our Nation. Marxism is totally discredited due to the failure of most of Karl Marx's predictions to materialise and because most people fail to see communism as an alternative to the present system. On top of this, there is a definite tendency on the part of the best sections of Europe's youth to reject the prevailing materialism of our age. In short, the best elements of Britain's youth are beginning to reject the alien dogmas of communism and capitalism. They are ripe for the picking. All we have to do is show them that our ideas are best and they will fall like ripe fruit into our hands.

On the cultural level, we must instill in our people the importance of maintaining our White racial way of life. The National Front must be seen as the only organisation which is seeking to fight for the **British** way of life in the face of an ever increasing onslaught by alien cultures. We must resist, not only the penetration of negro and Asian culture which is the result of multi-racialism, but also the penetration of coca-cola 'junk' culture which is the product of American imperialism. The 'junk' culture of America is as alien to us as any other imported culture because materialism is the product of the Jewish mind as much as it is the product of the less healthy parts of our own minds.

However, it is a sad but true fact that the N.F. is failing to make progress on the cultural level as much as we have failed to make progress on the philosophical level. Not only are we not seen by the British people to be fighting for their cultural way of life, I do not believe that we can even claim to be doing so. In fact, I believe that some of our own members are totally unaware of what we mean by British culture. **Yet if we don't have an understanding in our hearts of our own roots we will not find the strength for the struggles ahead. If we do not know who we are, or what we are, or from where we came, how on earth are we going to know where we are going!**

COMMITMENT

The third area of struggle in which the National Front must take up the fight is on the spiritual level, which is perhaps the most important level of all. If the National Front cannot induce a fervent commitment amongst its members it will not receive from them all the sacrifices which must be made before we can even hope to come to power.

As I stated right at the start of this article, the National Front is the last line of defence for the White Man in Britain. If the N.F. goes under the White Man goes under with it. Surely the survival of our Race and Nation is important enough for the best elements among the N.F's membership to give their whole life and whole being to the worthy Cause for which we fight? I am sure that there are hundreds of National Front members who feel as I do, so why is there such low morale, why is there such despondency within our ranks? Again the

NATIONAL FRONT: marching down the right road to power?

answer is not, I fear, a pleasant one; but again I know that it is a truthful one. The reason for the low morale, the reason for the despondency, the reason why so few people are showing the spirit of sacrifice which is so desperately needed, is due almost entirely to the growth of cynicism. This cynicism has, to one degree or another, permeated through the ranks so that is has contaminated the whole movement.

The National Front's cause is such a great one that it is above politics, it is a cause which should motivate idealistic people so that their very hearts and their very souls become an extension of our struggle. Our members should not have to be bribed to do the Party's wishes, they should not have to be "entertained" in order to get them to attend activities, they should not need to be constantly motivated to work for the cause. That motivation should be self-generating, it should come from within each individual. Once the seeds of our ideology are implanted into the heads of our members it should spread to their heads and their souls so that our Idea completely overpowers them. From that moment on, they will give their whole life to the furtherance of the Idea. Their motivation will be self-generating and internal.

This contrasts alarmingly with the situation we have at present where many members need to be regularly re-charged and permanently motivated by other people before they are prepared to do anything.

NEW SPIRIT

It stands to reason that the present state of affairs cannot continue for much longer. We must find a new spirit of comradeship, a new spirit of commitment and a new spirit of direction. If we do not, we will never be fit to take over when the opportunity arises. We all know that the N.F. must radically alter its strategy so that we know which road it is that we must travel down. But first we must destroy the sickness which has afflicted us from within. Until we do that, we will not be fit to travel down any road, let alone the road to power.

We must possess **real** commitment and **real** comradeship before we can do anything else. The present cynicism within the movement must be overcome because cynicism and idealism are diametrical opposites. And a movement for National Regeneration must be built around a framework of constructive idealism, not a feeling of destructive cynicism.

The alternatives before us are very clear. Either we build a movement based upon comradeship, commitment and idealism or else our struggle will be lost; and if our struggle is lost **all** will be lost.

We are blood brothers in more ways than one. As Party comrades our beliefs run through our veins, as Racial comrades our racial heritage going back through the centuries of time runs through our veins.

As Nick Griffin wrote in the last *Nationalism Today*, the tide is beginning to turn in our favour. We can and we **must** win!

Finally, we must not forget Albert Mariner. He is our blood brother also. We owe it to him as much as anything that our Race must survive and our race must once more carry not only our blood but the culture and civilisation of our forefathers.





U.S. MEDIA —A Jewish Monopoly

NOT ONLY IS television chock-full of Jewish producers, but many of the commentators, news reporters, editors and directors of news programmes are Jewish. Look at the influential national talk-interview programmes where, among others, David Susskind, Mike Wallace, Lawrence Spivak and Irving Kupcinet have reigned supreme. For a long time Stuart Schulberg of NBC sat at the top of the popular Today show, under Barbara Walters, to make certain that while every Tom, Dick and Harry might appear, nothing was to be aired that might upset the continued brain-washing of their viewers as to the Middle East. Try and get something that is anti-Zionist, no less pro-Arab, through the blockade of the three networks and you will quickly find out just how many producers and assistants are of the chosen faith. Calling from Nantucket, at the outset of the October 1973 war, to protest one of the many viciously slanted CBS news broadcasts of that time, I was three times connected with someone bearing an obvious Hebraic name. It has been estimated that close to 70 per cent of the important posts in the media are held by Jews, and there are an infinite number of Judith Einsteins, as at Channel 13, guarding the gateways to the top echelon.

— **Alfred M. Lilienthal.**
The Zionist Connection.

Channel 7 T.V. in San Francisco. Jewish presenters with Afro-style hair epitomise the state of the mass media in America.

ADRIAN WILTSHIRE

THE WAY FORWARD!

Building the Warrior Spirit

This article by Adrian Wiltshire argues the case for a radically new form of organisational structure for the National Front. Whilst members may not agree with all the points raised, the general principle which is advanced may need to be considered in any debate on the N.F's future strategy.

MORE IMPORTANT for a revolutionary political movement than members is active members. A party can have twenty thousand names on a membership list but if there is no-one prepared to spread the policies and ideals then it is highly unlikely that the number of people drawn to that movement will rise much above the original twenty thousand members, let alone win over the masses as a whole.

This does not, of course, apply to Establishment parties which are able to rely on that mass propaganda machine - the media - to put across their 'case'. Due to the revolutionary nature of the National Front's ideology, that includes a fundamental commitment to overthrow the existing parliamentary sham, it is impossible to imagine the Establishment ever allowing sufficient access to radio, the newspapers or television to achieve this objective.

In the 1970's the media misjudged the potential support for the National Front and allowed us to "kick our way into the headlines". At the same time we gained reasonably good election results because of this Establishment blunder, and now of course the National Front is a household name. They will not make the same mistake again. Whatever species of vermin we may describe these traitors as it certainly would not be lemming.

ENCOURAGEMENT

It is therefore necessary for us to use our activists to recruit more activists. I would argue that the present structure of the National Front does not offer any encouragement for people to take an active role in the movement. Obviously there are those who are committed enough to give up their time and energy but most, if not all, of these would probably do so in any event, having that strength of character.

What the NF needs is an organisational structure that actively promotes dedication to the cause and recognises sacrifice by offering certain privileges to activists. I would suggest that this organisational initiative should take the form of a divisional structure, such as the following, for example.

DIVISION FOUR. All new members who join the party would come in at this level. They would receive, along with their membership card, a members information pack that would include the Constitution, a history of the party and an application form to register as an activist. The application would require more information with regard to the members occupation, hobbies, qualifications and skills as well as a section on what activities the member felt able to take part in. If the new member did not wish to become active in any way all that would be expected of him is that he subscribe to party publications and renewed his membership promptly. On the other hand if he decided to become active his local Branch Organiser would visit and explain exactly what was required of him.

DIVISION THREE. Activists who had served their probationary period of membership should be recommended for this level by their Branch Organiser. Their suitability for promotion would be decided by a three man 'Divisional Panel' comprised of members at this Division. Once promoted the member would be expected to attend seminars on the policies and principles of Radical Nationalism, to gain a deeper understanding of what the National Front stands for. They could also be eligible for the Colour Party and for stewarding duties on marches and demonstrations. They would also be entitled to attend the NF's Annual General Meeting, and take part in voting on resolutions as well as voting for their own Branch Committee.

DIVISION TWO. Any Division Three member who, it is felt, could take on the responsibility of running a branch or area efficiently should be recommended for this level by either a Division One or Two member. He would then be interviewed by a 'Divisional Panel'. If satisfied of his suitability he would be promoted. All members at this level would be expected to attend training seminars in subjects relating to a practical knowledge of political procedure, such as printing and propaganda techniques, organisation, law etc...
They would also be eligible to stand for Branch and area organisers posts, as well as being able to vote in the election of Directorate members and propose resolutions at the Annual General Meeting of the Party.

DIVISION ONE. This would be the absolute elite of the party and this fact should be considered before any Division One member decided to recommend somebody for it. The candidate would be interviewed by a 'Divisional Panel' of members, excluding those on the National Directorate. Once accepted the member would be eligible for any local or national position, including membership of the Directorate. The National Directorate would elect a Party Chairman from their own ranks. The Directorate would also have the power to reverse the decisions of a 'Divisional Panel' if the candidate was turned down. Only a Disciplinary Tribunal could demote somebody, for breaking the Constitution or not carrying out duties.

The 'competitive spirit' is an inherent quality in European man, but like his racial awareness it must be nurtured. It is questionable whether many of Britain's great competitors, be they inventors or athletes, would have acheived so much if they were not given due recognition for their endeavours. Is it not strange therefore that a party whose philosophy is based on a natural order of things should run an organisation that offers little recognition of ability for commitment. A Divisional structure would not only give members something to strive for in the short term but would, in the long term, deepen their commitemnt and thus strengthen the movement.

If the National Front is to grow organically as it undoubtedly must as the British Nation falls more and more into a state of collapse and her people flock to their only salvation, we must build a powerful organisational fighting machine - an organisation that is not only able to withstand any attacks from the enemy, but that can cope with and utilise all those who come under our sphere of influence. It is for this reason that I propose the party adopt an internal Meritocracy as opposed to Democracy, for there is no point in pretending to be a great warrior if you have a weak heart.

Get this book FREE!


FUTURA
THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN
February 13th, 1945. Dresden was an undefended city packed with refugees fleeing from the advancing Russians. More people were to die there than at Hiroshima or at Nagasaki. In one of the most devastating raids of the War, almost 2000 Allied bombers dropped 3000 tons of bombs including 650,000 incendiaries on the centre of Dresden. The result was a raging fire storm that virtually erased the city and killed 135,000 people.

THIS book, *The Destruction of Dresden*, is being given out completely **free** to all Nationalists who take out a subscription to *Nationalism Today*. Written by internationally-renowned historian, David Irving, it details the horrific bombing of the German town by the Allied forces during the Second World War.

The Destruction of Dresden is a necessary part of every Nationalist's library. Get your copy by taking out a subscription to *Nationalism Today*. See the form on page nineteen.

PAUL COMBEN

THE URBAN ANIMAL



AT ABOUT 7.00 a.m. Mr. Smith raises himself from bed, staggers to the bathroom, and stares at his wrinkled little face in the mirror. Gulping his coffee and cornflakes, he then throws on one of his "executive" suits, folds the newspaper into his briefcase, and staggers into the sunlight. It would take him no more than five minutes to walk to the train station, but he always uses the car - foreign by the way!

On arriving at the station, he is confronted with his first real crisis of the day. The public address system crackles to the effect that his train will be fifteen minutes late. At once his mind jolts into action. Will he have enough time to collect his sandwiches at "Guiseppe's"? Will the boss mind him being five minutes late? And most important of all, will he get his usual seat in the first-class non-smoker?

Eventually the train arrives, and sure enough somebody has got his place. Pausing to reflect on how cruel life can be, Smithy unfolds his *Times* and gives an impressive look of distress to all and sundry as he reads of the death of two soldiers in Ulster. He remarks to the person standing next to him that "it's about time somebody did something" - hear hears all round. Satisfied that he has done his bit, he then lets out a good yawn and nods off.

THRILLING ROUTINE

Work follows the usual thrilling routine. A Mr. Cohen phones up complaining about the lack of a reply to a letter he wrote weeks ago. The boss starts tearing his hair out when the file is nowhere to be found because Mr. Cohen is such a valued customer. To make matters worse, poor old Smith has lost the change he uses in the drinks machine, and as he rummages through his cabinet he can hear the valued Mr. Cohen remonstrating with his boss concerning *"de big overheads, my boy"*, and *"how dis is maikin' ash and blotter of his account"*. Meanwhile, two teenage soldiers are being scraped off the pavement in Belfast.

When five o' clock comes our Mr. Smith is like a child leaving school. He rushes to the door, waving goodbye to Peter who has yet to find the written thoughts of Mr. Cohen, and heads for Charing Cross. Thanks to his fortitude and cunning, he has successfully maintained his place and station on the five-twenty, and smiles smugly at the thought that Samantha is bringing his favourite home tonight from that new Chinese place. Then feeling quite drained by the pressure of the day, he buries his head in the learned pages of *The Standard*, and so fails to see or even hear the convoy of police vehicles racing down New Cross Road, on their way to patch up a little spot of bother with the "coloured chappies".

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

PATHETIC

What a pathetic, miserable little creature our Mr. Smith! He is the product of our age and society, the Urban Animal, devoid of all natural instincts and values. A neutered wretch living on the edge of oblivion, his whole life is centered on work, the odd round of golf and a half of bitter in the King's Head on Sundays.

Smith is an ideal servant of the capitalist state. He is a willing worker, asks no questions and causes no trouble at all. He considers himself "free" because the freedom he demands from his rulers is so minute that it might as well not exist at all. For Mr. Smith freedom essentially means obeying the rules, and having the chance to vote for Sir Monty Fiddlestein every few years. He often sees himself as a patriot - perhaps even a Thatcherite - but his willingness to get off his backside and do something to help his nation is non-existant. He will tolerate I.R.A. bombs, Black riots, mugging and unemployment, but just let his video go wrong and there will be hell to pay!

With his perverse set of values, his spineless morality and totally unused brain, Smith is more of a threat to this land than any number of Zionists, Blacks and Asians. These groups are only successful because there are too many Smiths letting them be so. In order to destroy the inner threat to our nation, the Urban Animal must be hunted to extinction.

It is interesting to compare this creature with the kind of people who lived in this land hundreds of years ago. Mr. Smith might consider himself superior because he can play a wicked hand of bridge, but in actual fact he is about as inferior as they come. It is next to impossible to imagine our ancestors of 1400 or 1500 tolerating a coloured invasion of their land. Instead, they would have made a grab for their sharper farm tools and met the invader head on. Medieval peasants may not have had Mr. Smith's record collection, but they knew the value of the land they stood on because they were the ones who worked it and raised their families on it. The natural instinct to defend the community and the land from the alien was alive and well.

HEALTHY INSTINCTS

In order to restore healthy instincts and wipe out the Smiths, you must destroy the environment that breeds them. As other writers have already said in the pages of this magazine, this will require the people of this nation to get back to the land *en masse*. The wayward path of two social revolutions, industrial and technological, has robbed our people of the need to face up to many of the strengthening challenge in life. The industrial revolution took away the need and even desire to work with hands, the technological is doing the same with brains. **Cities have become super workshops, complete with dormitories provided in suburbia. The new robot-humans do their required act of service to the machine, and then return to their little boxes until needed the next day. Our people do not work to see crops grow or houses built, but simply to grab the green, blue and brown paper money that ensures that the television is paid for and the car runs.**

Above all else, our people have been subject to a conditioning that leads them to walk past the victims of mugging, heedless of the desperate cries for help. It is why they remain unmoved by race riots, the rape of their nation and the butchering of their kinsmen in Ulster. It is why, until such time as something inside them comes alive and rekindles their spirit to fight, the National Front will never get the vote of Mr. and Mrs. Smith.

FIGHT FOR FREEDOM!

AT long last *Fight For Freedom*, the booklet which Joe Pearce wrote while in Chelmsford Prison has been published. Joe wrote the booklet while serving a six month sentence for "crimes" under the infamous Race Relations Act.

Fight For Freedom is not intended as the definitive statement of Racial Nationalist ideology. It is, however, a broad outline of many aspects of radical Nationalism.

The contents of *Fight For Freedom* are as follows:-

1. **Freedom – Reality or Illusion**
2. **Racial Freedom**
3. **Financial Freedom**
4. **Industrial Freedom**
5. **Social Freedom**
6. **The Fight For Freedom: The Road Ahead.**

Fight For Freedom is available from Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. Price £1.37 including postage. (Cheques/postal orders made payable to "Nationalist Books".

Steve Brady

RACE: What the Scientists Say

GREAT RACIAL SCIENTISTS

2. Prof Hans Eysenck



THE BACKGROUND of Professor Hans Jurgen Eysenck, Ph.D., D.Sc,. could hardly be less likely to predispose him toward any sort of support for the idea of inherited racial differences. Born in Berlin in 1916, he was forced to flee Hitler's Germany in the mid-1930's. He became known as a fervent and outspoken anti-Nazi and liberal, bitterly opposed to "racism" in all its forms.

After he escaped from the Gestapo, Eysenck was educated at the Universities of Dijon, Exeter and London, from which last institution he received his Doctorate of Philosophy in Psychology in 1940. Since then, apart from work at the Mill Hill Emergency Hospital during the War and lecturing at two American Universities, Professor Eysenck has devoted his career primarily to founding and building up the University of London Institute of Psychiatry at the Maudsley Hospital in South London, of which he has been Director since 1946 and Professor of Psychiatry since 1955. Today, Eysenck's Institute is among the most respected institutions of its kind in the World, pioneering research into mental illness and bringing hope to the lives of thousands of patients.

LEARNED JOURNAL

Apart from this work, Professor Eysenck has found time to edit a number of learned journals, notably the *International Monographs of Experimental Psychology,* and to write numerous books ranging from classic textbooks to best-selling popular works. He has had over 600 scientific papers published in British, American, German, Spanish and French Journals of Psychology.

As a refugee from Hitlerite Nazism, active in a traditionally egalitarian and heavily Marxist/liberal influenced field, Eysenck naturally gravitated toward the view, as he later admitted, "that racial IQ differences were due to environmental influences". But, unlike many of his equally multi-racialist contemporaries, Eysenck bore the mark of the true scientist: He was prepared to look at the actual evidence provided by the real world, even when it pointed inexorably to conclusions utterly at variance with his personal preconceptions and attitudes. In short, he was prepared to overcome his own prejudices in the service of Truth.

MONUMENTAL STUDY

When he was confronted with Professor Audrey M. Shuey's monumental study *The Testing of Negro Intelligence* in 1966, and Professor Arthur Jensen's epochal 1969 paper on racial differences in intelligence published in the *Harvard Educational Review,* both of which powerfully documented the vast weight of scientific evidence tending to demonstrate the existence of major and inherited differences in average intelligence between races, Professor Eysenck felt compelled to rethink his ideas. As he put it in an exclusive interview courageously granted to the racial nationalist magazine *Beacon* in 1976, he found the case for inherited racial differences in intelligence made by Shuey and Jensen to be *"absolutely convincing, so I re-read all the literature and found I couldn't maintain the view I had held before".* Would that other multi-racialists and ethnic egalitarians had Professor Eysenck's intellectual honesty and moral courage!

Fired by his new awareness of inherited racial differences in intelligence, Eysenck wrote his classic *Race, Intelligence and Education* (Temple-Smith, 1971) which powerfully argued the case for such inherent differences. Though Eysenck was careful to adopt a cautious note in drawing the obvious political inferences from such scientific realities, this book brilliantly and systematically demolishes the multiracialist pleas that the racial differences in average IQ, and other measures of intelligence, observed again and again over the last century, are the results of "White racist society", Eysenck's *Race, Intelligence and Education* is a fine exposition of the psychology of race and IQ which every Nationalist should endeavour to read, the more so as it is not overly "hard going".

Also worth reading is Eysenck's *The Inequality of Man* (Fontana, 1975), in which he very ably argues the case for what is in fact the fundamental premise of racial nationalism - that human nature, the behaviour, intelligence, personality, and other mental attributes of peoples and races, is basically and primarily determined by genetic inheritance, not social environment. **Hence any vision of a new and a better society must be determined by the constraints and potentialities of human nature, rather than simply blindly assuming that people and their most profound drives and motivations can be changed beyond recognition to fit The Plan, as Marxists and liberals do.**

OUTSPOKEN COURAGE

For his outspoken courage in standing by his convictions, Professor Eysenck has been vilified, smeared by the media, and repeatedly beaten up by Red thugs. But, as he told a Nationalist interviewer, *"It doesn't make any difference to what I say or write. I left Germany and went into exile because I cherish free speech .... and I wouldn't give it up for any threats".*

Far from being the ignorant bigot which is the media stereotype of the believer in in racial difference, Professor Hans Eysenck has written: *"Human problems.... have to be settled on a factual basis: emotion and prejudice, but only by careful factual research, unencumbered by bias and determined to follow the evidence wherever it may lead".* As the only true "scientific socialists", we echo the Professor's view.

We also echo his warning, that if the problem of Race is not solved, *"it threatens to involve us in strife, both civil and international, which will make previous wars and commotions seem trivial".* Reality or race war, repatriation or ruin, that is the choice before us, a choice starkly highlighted by the facts adduced by such eminent scientists as Professor Hans Eysenck.

BULLDOG

The monthly paper of the Young National Front. Send 25p for a sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

NF CALENDARS

£2.50 plus 94p postage from 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

NICK GRIFFIN

Trouble shooting

A SHOT IN THE DARK

"KWAME TURE sees this as the most important message he has to bring to Blacks in Britain. Blacks must create their own organisations, be prepared to finance them and work against injustice through them. It is only through such methods that lasting changes will be brought about. Spontaneous outbursts and riots are not enough as it only ensures that the enemy will be better prepared next time. The work ahead is a vast one, although it will ultimately succeed. No Black man, woman or child has an excuse for not belonging to a black organisation, be it political, educational, professional".

Kwame Ture, better known as the Black Panther, recently returned to Britain for the first time since the 1960's. He was then a leading Black Power agitator and head of a Black Marxist terror gang. The Home Secretary refused to use his powers to prevent Ture entering Britain, even though his views have not changed, as is shown by this extract from *The Sniper.*

The Sniper, subtitled Black London News, Views and Streetlife", is a fortnightly magazine published by a Brixton-based "Black editorial collective". To be honest, "published" is not quite the right word for it. It is not clear how this group of Coloured intellectuals produce something quite so bad. Perhaps they type by banging the typewriter keys with their dreadlocks in time to their album of the week. Perhaps Stevie Wonder proof-reads the text for mistakes. Perhaps it's the ganja.

On the other hand, maybe the thought of producing a newspaper than can actually be read has been rejected as a "White" idea, alien to the great traditions of African civilisation. A civilisation which, as *The Sniper* never tires of telling people, produced *"a culture equal to anything produced by so-called European civilisation, until first undermined by British slave trading activities and then finally destroyed by British military imperialism".*

DIFFICULTIES

Yet in spite of the difficulties involved in reading *The Sniper,* it is well worth trying. Kwame Ture has some interesting things to say. The report of his speech to the International Black Solidarity Rally at Friends Meeting House, Euston, tells us that he believes that "*Race is an important aspect of politics. There is every justification for Black people being proud of their African descent and cultural heritage".* The National Front accepts that. We only hope that Kwame Ture in turn accepts that British people have the same right to pride in their Nation and Race, or does he just hate Whitey?

The Sniper tells us that he "*spoke on Power, Politics, Philosophy, Religion, History, The History of Africa and its much undervalued contribution to world civilisation".* Quite an accomplished (or should I say inventive) orator!

The Sniper, incidently, published several photos of Kwame Ture but we are unable to reproduce them here. They seem to have been taken, not only at night, but also without a flash-bulb. The only things that show up are several rows of teeth!

RAVE REVIEW

A similar effect also spoils (?) the picture of Sistren - The Jamaican Women's Theatre Collective. *The Sniper* gives a rave review to their current play "Bellywoman Bangarang" (I promise I'm not making this up). Bellywoman Bangarang" must be a very poor play. It has only been awarded one Festival Gold Medal, way back in 1978. How on earth is it possible, "in this day and age", for a man-hating feminist play by a collective of Black lesbians to get only one gold medal. Perhaps none of them is mentally handicapped! If that's the case, they could improve their chances by taking on a few members of *The Sniper's* "editorial collective".

But we musn't be unkind. *The Sniper's* staff are very busy with other things besides

Britain's alienated Black Community are being wooed to the cause of Black supremacy and Black racism.

their magazine. For instance, there are a wide variety of "Defence Campaigns". *The Sniper* is particularly keen on the May 3rd Defence Campaign in support of the 35 "anti-fascists" arrested in the riot that included the murder of Albert Mariner. It seems that the Black and Red scum responsible for that outrage are not satisfied with the police/media cover-up of the murder. They now want ALL charges dropped completely.

The Sniper continues with a call for support for the Mozart 7 Defence Campaign which surprised me somewhat. Every tube station and bus stop in London seems to have a resident gang of West Indian brats with a giant portable stereo unit; but I have never heard one playing classical music.

Reading on, I discovered my mistake. The Mozart 7 are, in fact, "*seven Black women facing ABH charges following attempts by police to enter a home on the Mozart estate in West London".*

I wonder whether Mozart would be pleased to have his name immortalised in a multi-racial slum in West London, I'm sure the editorial collective of *The Sniper* don't approve. They'll probably start a campaign to remane it after Lynton Kwesi Johnson. This would be no surprise because, for a magazine sold in Collett's and similar trendy Red bookshops, *The Sniper* is a very racialist publication.

Take, for example, the column by "Thinman". Giving advice to all those budding Black intellectuals about to enter higher education (I shall avoid a corny joke about trees), Thinman advises his readers (assuming that I'm not the only one) to steer" *clear of the White middle classes... do not apologise for being Black, do not attempt to use your place in Higher Education to gain 'honoury White' status".*

'HONOURY BLACKS'

Thinman then goes on to make it clear that he also detests Whites who try to gain 'honoury Black' status. Remarking that this year's Notting Hill carnival was too crowded, he comments "*one good way of solving this would be if fewer White people went - I find that they only tend to get in the bloody way".*

Perhaps the trendy manager at Collett's gave up after that. I certainly can't believe that any liberal-Marxist bookshop would knowingly sell Thinman's next outbutst of common-sense. Under the heading "The White Man Strikes Back", he attacks the "foolish Blackmen" who go out with White women. He goes on to bemoan the fact that some White men are now showing unhealthy interest in Coloured females.

As he points out, "*this disturbing trend can be witnessed most readily at the 'trendy left' type events which seem to occur nowadays with monotonously irritating frequency - 'peace Festivals', 'jobs festivals' and White organised 'Black culture festivals'."*

"What makes this all the more irritating is that I am currently without a daughter to call my own. Perhaps I should dye my hair pink, walk around in clothes that I don't fit and carry copies of Time Out *and* Socialist So-and-So *around with me".*

All in all, as has been seen, *The Sniper* at 40p is much better value than *Spearhead* at 50p (or *Spearhead* at 10p, for that matter). What's more, it's the only piece of bigoted race-hate on sale at your local Red bookshop. Avoid disappointment - order your copy today!

NATIONALIST BOOKS

The NF's mail order book service. A full range of titles of interest to Nationalists and Racialists. Send 20p for list to: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

READERS' LETTERS

All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

FORMER SOCIALIST

Dear *NT*,

As a recent subscriber please permit me to compliment everyone connected with the publication of a very fine magazine. I strongly suspect that the reason why your opponents, the well positioned anti-British element, have such a particular anathema for this journal is because it is patently making an impact. Why else would it upset them so?

As a former socialist I was quite amazed to learn of the extensive Jewish involvement in the furtherance of Communism, past and present. But what strikes me as being even stranger, if that's possible, is why these well documented facts were never made available to me and presumably every other well-meaning but naive individual of left-wing persuasion. Why on earth would prominent socialists, such as Tony Cliff, Bert Ramelson, Ian Mikardo, Leo Abse, Greville Janner and Alan Sapper want to suppress historical truth?

Perhaps the late Maurice Ludmer could have given me an objective answer to that question.

Yours Faithfully,

Geoffrey Coyne
Liverpool.

SELL OUT

Dear National Front,

I am sure you know of the Labour Party plans to "sell out" the Loyalist community in Ulster. But until now I have never seen written proof. Alas I have found such proof, and am writing to you in case you would like to use this information for future reference.

In the book "*A Case for Labour*" by Austin Mitchell, MP for Grimsby, on page 141 I found the following line, "*Northern Ireland is certain to require a military presence until the Protestant interest can be bought out, their guarantee revoked, and the province edged gradually towards the Republic*". The book is published by the Longman Group.

I think you will agree that such a statement by a Labour MP, in a book showing the party's policies, can be taken as proof of the treachery shown to Ulster and her people, by the Labour Party, and any future government.

Best Wishes,

S. Halford
Coventry.

CHRISTIANITY

Dear *NT*,

Martin Webster's '*Troubieshooting*' article in issue No.18 intended stimulating debate on the issue of religion and racial survival. Unfortunately his analysis displays a sad degree of confusion that calls less for debate than for clarification.

His position - like that of the Jews - is naturalistic: he evaluates religion soley by worldly criteria, and it is therefore hardly surprising that he misunderstands Christian teaching in the areas discussed.

He is correct in recognising Christianity as a **universal** religion; but confuses this with internationalism. That Christianity is meant for all peoples in all times in no way implies a call for the removal of national frontiers, or for race-mixing. It can be argued that nationalism is the best form of government for **every** country: to that extent nationalism has a universality - but does that make it **internationalism?**

Mr. Webster goes further adrift when he states that an acceptance of struggle is, to Christianity, a sin. This isn't so! Every Christian's **duty** is to combat sin both in relation to himself and in the world about him: sin begins by definition when one **ceases** this struggle. It is an intense struggle seen at its finest in the heroic lives of the saints.

He draws a "*stark contrast*" between Christianity and nationalism by citing that *'The meek shall inherit the earth"*. By meekness Jesus did not mean **weakness**. What he advocated was self-sacrifice: a strong characteristic. Meakness means self-denial: a readiness to suffer for love of that which is greater. This is an entirely **personal** forbearance, and doesn't mitigate one's Christian duty to defend one's country.

This is clearly seen in the life of Our Lord, Who suffered scourging and crucifiction, but Who reacted with holy anger at the money-changers in the temple who offended against social justice.

That the meek - the self-sacrificing - shall inherit the earth follows logically. Contrary to Mr. Webster's suggestion this is a spiritual promise. It is to be understood thus: the meek shall possess the world simply because **they lay no claim upon it,** and are indifferent to its riches. In contrast to the greedy who fret at gaining and losing worldly possessions, the meek know a quiet freedom.

To back up his thesis he refers to a book and a pamphlet. The book - '*Camp of the Saints*' - is indeed a prophetic novel, but it is rather an insight into liberal mentality and the influence of that mentality on the Church than an arguement against doctrinal Christianity, which has consistently condemned liberalism. The pamphlet '*Christianity - a religion for sheep*' is a wildly erroneous tract which is completely worthless.

I hope that this letter bestirs Martin Webster to take a deeper look into his subject, and that he will thereby come to see that there is no "*stark contrast*" between the ethics of Christianity and nationalism, but only a conflict between nationalism and liberalism **posing** as Christianity.

If what he means is that there is a necessary conflict between Christianity and those who would make the state - or the race - a god, he is correct. If judaism, with all its hatreds, revenge and bitterness, is an example of a 'religion' that has replaced God with a race I am happy to be a Christian.

Paul Matthews
Caerydd, Cymru.

ETHNIC ORIGINS

Dear Editor,

I enclose a donation of £3.00 towards *Nationalism Today*, a first class Nationalist magazine.

I also enclose part of a job application form currently being used by Cambridge City Council, as part of their so-called equal opportunities employment scheme.

As you can see on this form applicants are asked to state their ethnic origins. Needless to say United Kingdom, Irish and other Europeans come last after African, Asian and Carribean. Presumably 'Other' at the bottom of the form must be for Jews!

I look forward to reading the next issue of *NT*.

Yours Sincerely,

A Patriot,
Cambridge.

NORTHERN IRELAND

Dear Sir,

After having attended the NF march and Rally through the streets of Belfast recently, I feel I must write to express my thanks and gratitude to all the NF Loyalists who took the trouble to travel across the water. The march was very successful and I feel has acheived a great deal in putting forward the Party in Northern Ireland. How well to see fellow Loyalists from all parts of Great Britain uniting to the cause of Ulster. At their own cost and time they travelled over to the often forgotten land of Ulster and many Loyalists have since realised that the NF is the only party which has not forgotten their struggle.

The well-behaved members marched proudly through the centre of Belfast and gave a great deal of good publicity to the NF. Later that same day there was a short TV coverage of the march.

I look forward to meeting some of the loyal patriots from the mainland who I met on the Belfast march some time in the New Year.

Yours Sincerely,

NF Member,
Belfast.
(Name and address supplied)

National Front News
A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies £9.00.

Rite Up

MUTI-LATED

RITUAL MURDER is on the increase in the Black African state of Swaziland. Prime Minister, Prince Mabandle has condemned it as "a cancer in our society", but the authorities seem powerless to stop the re-emergence of this good old African tradition.

The Swazis believe that the killers acquire magic powers through medicine, known as "muti", made from pieces of their victims' bodies.

According to a report in the *Brighton Evening Argus,* young children are the most popular ingredients because their "life forces" are considered stronger and the resulting medicine more effective.

In one case, 2½ year old Thuli Mabaso was lured away from a Christmas party and murdered. Her body was hacked apart and bits of flesh were missing. Phillipa Mdluli, a businesswoman and wife of a top civil servant, was sentenced to death after a court heard that she had killed the child to get ingredients for a spell to save her failing cafe.

Foreign diplomats in the country are particularly shocked that the muti-murderers are often well-educated, sometimes to university level, and are not always illiterate tribespeople.

LIKELY EXPLANATION

A Swazi sociologist has come up with a most original, unusual and likely explanation for the increase in this particular form of Black crime. Yes, of course, it's all due to "social stress and unemployment". As he says: "*It appears to be a reasonable conclusion that some people may be turning back to the past for powers to cope with adversity as the modern world goes into recession and appears unable to live up to its promises of riches and success".* (This would explain the frequency of ritual murder in Glasgow and Newcastle. Ed.).

There is, unfortunately, only one final solution to this shocking problem. Britain has a morally binding international duty to provide a relief team of thousands of our best sociologists, world-famous for being even sillier than everyone else's.

They would point out to the Swazi authorities that they haven't got a problem at all. It is in reality a vibrant on-going example of multi-cultural expression, as hitherto "White" concepts such as universities, cafes and sociologists, merge with traditional "ethnic" activities such as witchcraft and ritual murder.

As Dr. Rudi Steinwitzleberger, Chairperson of the Human Relations Dept. at the prestigious brieze-block university at Milton Keynes, told us last night: "*The significance of this entire episode is the extent to which it reveals and examples the progressive breakdown of the established role-play barriers enforced by colonialism, imperialism and racism. 'Crime' is in any case a relative concept and is fundamentally outmoded. It's the interplay of different forms of cultural expression that must concern us all in this day and age".*

A word from your local community policeman...

HI dere folks, mah name am Killdee Fuzz an' ah has bin appointed as de noo head of de Brixton Community Police. In de course of de next few weeks, ah will be tourin' dis area to introdooce mahself to all de good soul brudders out dere.

Aint gonna be no problem recognisin' me folks; just look for de cool guy wid de smokin' joint an' de dreadlocks pokin' through de helmet. Ah will be travellin' in a damm tastey Rolls Royce courtasee of mah good friend Ken Livingbone.

Of course we got alot of problems round dis place. Furstly, dere is de disgustin' problem of de dark streets, what am makin' it frightful difficult for you brudders to see to target for de next muggin'. Also got to stop mah fellow Rastas in de fuzz from eatin' de truncheons an' usin' de helmets as pots for growin' de sacred weed.

Anyways, ah hopes you will be givin' me your support in makin' our streets as safe as good ol' Harlem. You can be sure that ah will spare no effort in trackin' down de criminals an' cuttin' mahself in for a good share of de takings.

Finally, ah jest wanna end wid dat tradishhanal British sayin', "Red sky at night means dat Brixton is burnin'!"

Pinhead Reports....

THE AGM of the BNP was recently held at the Lewisham Holdfast of the gallant Duke Edmonds the Groveller. This location was chosen after authorities had refused use of Wembley Stadium or Olympia.

An eager crowd of serfs awaited the arrival of the State minibus carrying the Royals from their country seat in Sussex. THE TINPOT was greeted with much tugging of forelocks, as was His beloved father-in-law Charles the Bald. The non-appearence of "Black Jasper", better known as Lord Hill of Leicester, was explained as being due to unfavourable wind conditions.

Despite having nearly 40 people in the fortress. Lord Shaw was able to reveal his bust of THE LEADER, made from the BNP membership lists for West London and a turnip. There was one nasty incident however when Queen Tinpot opened the door of the privvy and was nearly crushed by an avalanche of 20,000 back copies of *Pinhead.* When asked to explain the slight build-up in unsold stock, Duke Edmonds admitted an error in his sales report.

There were two resolutions before the meeting. The first was proposed by THE TINPOT and seconded by THE TINPOT. It called for more power to be placed in HIS hands, and that 500 posed photographs of HIM should appear in the next ten issues of *Pinhead.* The resolution was passed on the nod, and THE TINPOT then announced that he was dissolving Himself and would be called the "GRAND MASONIC TOOL" from now on.

The other resolution was put forward by Baron Balls of Balsover, and called for the party to be renamed as the "Society for the Protection of Balding Mosleyite Dwarfs". This was not carried.

The meeting ended with the speech of the "GRAND MASONIC TOOL", in which He reminded members that they were the coming breeze, and that splinter groups always failed unless He said otherwise. The collection raised a huge number of excuses, largely because Charles the Bald had warned that anyone caught fiddling in their pockets would be soundly thrashed and ordered to take a cold shower.

His Serenity, the GRAND MASONIC TOOL, is pictured here hunting for his brain.

Industrial Front

PUBLIC SERVICE—NOT PRIVATE PROFIT

KEITH HANDY played a very active role in the recent strikes by British Telecom employees against the Government's privatisation plans. In this special report for Industrial Front *he explains the union's case.*

FACED WITH the burden of massive interest payments and ever rising unemployment the Government has taken to financing its failings by selling off our national assets. B.P., Britoil and Cable and Wireless have already been largely sold off, while future sales are planned of British Gas, Wytch Farm Oil, British Aerospace, British Nuclear Fuels, Jaguar Cars, the Naval Shipyards, the Royal Ordinance Factories, Sealink and Unipart.

By far the most lucrative sale for the Government, and arguably the most damaging for the nation, however, is the proposed privatisation of British Telecom.

The Government has of course put forward many arguements to excuse its privatisation plans. It argues that nationalised industries are inefficient and a burden on the taxpayer, and that increased competition will lead to better and cheaper services.

As far as B.T. is concerned however neither of these arguements has any substance. B.T. is already a highly efficient and profitable business. In the 1982/83 financial year B.T. made a profit of £365 million and it is widely believed that these figures were "doctored" so that in the 1983/84 year B.T. will be able to announce profits of £1,000 million, just before the proposed initial share sale. Far from being a burden on the taxpayer B.T. paid the Government a total of £302 million in interest last year without borrowing any money from the Government.

ARGUEMENTS DEMOLISHED

The arguement that increased competition will lead to a better service is easily demolished. How much competition will there be to provide public telephone kiosks, each of which loses about £1,500 per year? How much competition will there be to provide free directory enquiries and 999 services? How much competition will there be to lay thousands of pounds worth of cable to provide telephones to isolated farms for a nominal installation fee, as B.T. now does? How much competition will there be to provide outlying rural exchanges, the revenue from which nowhere nearly matches the maintenance costs?

It is clear that the only competition will be for the profitable international communications and data services, used in the main by the Government's big business backers. If B.T.'s competitors succeed in creaming off its most profitable business, or in forcing it to reduce prices in those areas then the general public will pay for it by a more expensive and less efficient telephone service.

The sale of B.T. shares in itself is providing a major headache for the Government. With the total market capitalisation of all companies quoted on the London Stock Exchange being around £140 billion there are fears among the Cities big institutions that the sale of 51% of B.T.'s shares for around £4 billion could start a major crash on the Stock Market. The Government has therefore sought buyers in Japan, in the politically unstable, but oil-rich, Arab countries, and predictable on Wall Street. This is by no means an illogical move for the Government, after all if our defences can be trusted to the Wall street dominated Reagan administration why not our communications services?

If the Government succeeds in privatising

Keith Handy

B.T. then the consequences to the general public could be disastrous. Shareholders will naturally want to see bigger profits, and if this can be acheived by reducing the loss-making services B.T. offers to its ordinary customers then so much the better. In addition shareholders will want to see those profits used to pay dividends, rather than provide a better service as they are at present.

Thankfully opposition to the share sell is mounting Michael Barrett, General Secretary of the National League for the Blind and Disabled, has expressed firm opposition, saying "*There are many people who are dependant on B.T., including those who cannot read. Prestel is an essential part of B.T. service, especailly for deaf people. Who is going to pay for that?* The National Farmers Union has expressed similar concern.

The main opposition however has come from the British Telecommunications Unions Committee (B.T.U.C.) which has pledged itself to fighting privatisation all the way. The campaign has so far been spearheaded by the Post Office Engineering Union (P.O.E.U.) which represents B.T.'s 130,000 engineering workers.

The unions aim has been to cause the maximum disruption to the Government and its big business backers, while providing the best possible service to the general public, (the exact opposite of the effect privatisation will have).

WORK TO RULE

A work to rule has been established on all Government communications systems. P.O. E.U. members have been called out on strike in Brimingham. Liverpool, Warrington, Birkenhead, Swansea and Manchester. A strike at carefully selected locations in Aberdeen led to a breakdown of the telex system serving North Sea oil platforms. So effective was a work to rule at three international "gateway" exchanges in Central London and Burnt Oak, Edgeware that in a military style operation at midnight 7th October 1983 B.T. senior management physically removed all P.O.E.U. members from their workplaces. In an attempt to intimidate its employees B.T. managers then locked out all P.O.E.U. members until they sign a declaration that they are willing to do any work they are directed to without union representation. The result has been that B.T. is trying to maintain a skeleton internationa service by using scab workers from B.T. management grades. P.O.E.U. members have joined forces with the Union of Communications Workers (U.C.W.) to conduct 24 hour stoppages at London's Columba House, and Fleet Building exchanges.

So successful have been these actions that even the Tory supporting "*Daily Express*" was forced to admit "Telecom Unions outwit the Government all down the line".

There are currently some 2,400 P.O.E.U. members either suspended, locked out or on strike. The Government is undoubtedly hoping that payments being made to those members out of a £1 per week industrial action levy will cripple the P.O.E.U. and end the action. The country's blind, hard of hearing and disabled hope that the Government is wrong.

The unions in B.T. are fighting to protect their members' jobs and pensions. They are also fighting to protect public services and probably people's lives. The message from the unions is clear, communications must be run to provide public service, not private profit.

TRADE UNIONISTS!

Keep Industrial Front informed! Send all Trade Union papers and journals to: N.T. (Industrial Front), 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.



This series of articles by Ian Anderson describes some of the more interesting political activities of both National Front members and occasionally members of the public. While all the facts are true, the identities of some individuals have been changed for reasons that will become obvious.

CABLE STREET, in the heart of the East End of London, is famous for the riot which took place in 1936 when Zionists imported gangs of thugs into the area in an attempt to prevent the British Union of Fascists from marching. Although the B.U.F. continued to grow after this event, Communist and Zionist organisations have hailed the "Battle of Cable Street" as a great victory over the forces not just of fascism but of all other types of nationalism.

In 1981 the London Borough of Tower Hamlets commissioned a mural to be painted on a large wall in Cable Street depicting this alleged battle. To many local people the spending of thousands of pounds on such a political mural was an insult. Anyone who has walked through areas of Tower Hamlets such as Bethnal Green, Whitechapel or Poplar can easily see that there are far more pressing needs than a political mural.

As the mural took shape partly hidden behind the necessary high scaffolding it became clear that the mural by one "David Binnington" was a complete travesty of the true facts. Instead of depicting the Zionist criminals who attempted to stop a completely legal march by a column of fascists, it showed the B.U.F. members all looking incredibly evil attacking the police while the heroic local Jews are all portrayed with martyred expressions.

Genuine local East Enders became extremely angry especially as most Jews have fled the area to the comforts of suburban Essex to escape the invasion of the dregs of Afro-Asia.

One particular individual became so angry that rather than join in the longer political struggle against Zionist internationalism which the NF would advocate, he decided to take matters into his own hands.

Since the appearance of the first in this series of "Naughty But Nice" articles appeared this individual telephoned one of our sympathisers and we print his story to illustrate the depth of local feeling against this perversion of historical fact, even though, in this case, we cannot condone his actions.

FURIOUS

Apparently late at night on 23rd May 1982 this man had, on his way home from his job fallen over a pile of rubbish which local dustmen had failed to clear and which he could not see because the street lighting had been vandalised. Furious at this he had continued home nursing a few bruises and one or two cuts.

On arriving home he was sitting with his family when his wife mentioned the cost of the mural. So incensed was he that the Council should spend so much cash on a mural when they couldn't even provide such basic services as street lighting and rubbish collection he determined to do something to vent his anger.

Consequently in the early hours of the morning he collected together a large brush and some paint similar to the type used to paint the lines on roads. He dressed in dark, warm clothing and set off for Cable Street.

NUMBERS RIDDLE

Having climbed up the scaffolding so that he could not be seen from the road he set about his task. In letters several feet high he painted the words "British Nationalism Not Communism" right across the mural, he then added a few other slogans. Feeling tired but determined to make one last gesture to show that he believed Britain had only one genuine hope for political recovery he painted the numbers 146 below the slogans.

Local papers have never worked out the significance of these numbers, however it is common knowledge in many East End pubs. The numbers are not 146 but 14, 6 - the fourteenth letter of the alphabet is N, the sixth is F.

Having completed his work the man returned home and went to bed feeling decidedly better.



The individual who painted racist slogans over this mural in Cable Street, showed little appreciation for the pro-Jewish message of this particular work of "art"!

When the council first examined the attack on their mural they were confident that it could be easily repaired, however their confidence gave way to apoplexy when they were told that because of the type of paint used it would cost hundreds of pounds and that the artist refused to complete the work until he received the balance of payment due to him which the Council was withholding.

We may sympathise with his action, and derive some amusement from the screams of anguish which subsequently erupted from the Labour dominated Council and from Zionist organisations, however when tackled by our NF sympathiser about positive political action this man had to admit that perhaps his actions would not solve Britain's problems.

There are other more constructive actions needed to win back our country but clearly the depth of feeling is there if at present lying dormant.

N.T. Sales League

ISLINGTON are a new entry into the *N.T.* Sales League, coming straight into the top ten at number three. Birmingham and Redbridge are the two other new entries, while Southwark, Belfast and Hounslow drop out of the top ten.

The top ten units for selling *N.T.* are:

1. LAMBETH
2. NEWHAM
3. ISLINGTON
4. Tower Hamlets
5. Wandsworth
6. Leeds
7. Waltham Forest
8. Hackney
9. Birmingham
10. Redbridge

GET IT REGULARLY!

Make sure you receive Nationalism Today promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

Name .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

The following rates are for **12** issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: **£7.00** ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail **£7.30** ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail **£10.70** ☐ Unsealed air mail, Middle East **£11.30** ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, America, Africa **£12.20** ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand **£14.20** ☐ Half rates for 6 issues.

Please add 50p to foreign cheques as commission for our grasping bankers!

All cheques/P.O.'s should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: N.T., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

Dave Stevens

RADICAL NATIONALISM

—The Real Road To Peace



"Have you got one that doesn't want us to have the Cruise missiles?"

NO ONE in their right mind wants war, least of all a nuclear holocaust that could send us all into the abyss. Yet you would think the only people that cared were the pompous pseudo-marxists of Greenham Common who believe that everyone not wearing a death rune must be a monster.

Well we want peace too, but we also want National Independence and Dignity.

The USSR is a State Capitalist dictatorship with a history of militarism and imperialism. It doesn't have to worry too much about 'peace people' since it has more than enough mental asylums and work camps to deal with them.

The Soviets have a huge military budget and they would doubtless love to control the world, but it is doubtful whether they would risk extermination to get it. Their economic system is on the verge of collapse, and would already have collapsed if the "running dogs of capitalism" hadn't kindly propped it up.

The Soviets will either try for arms reductions, so she can use more of her resources on stupifying her restless people; or she may try the cold war cosh to pull her people in to line with fear of U.S. aggression. The Russian people know only too well about death and invasion, and fear of it, as Stalin knew, can keep their shoulder to the wheel.

The U.S.A. on the other hand has always been spared war on the Home Front. While we were constantly blitzed, all they had was Pearl Harbour. Also, powerful elements in the White House and in the armaments industry see big profits in the arms race. The fact that they are putting all our lives on the line doesn't appear to worry them in the least.

Zionists have also been more than ready to rev up the geiger counter. They have been building links with the sick but dangerous Evangelical and Fundamentalist churches in the United States who did so much to put Reagan in the White House. The Jews may have killed Christ but what's a few theological nails when it comes to saving capitalism.

The Yanks also have many links (as in chains) with some nasty Latin American military dictatorships, whose idea of social justice is a bullet in the back and whose idea of patriotism is to allow their people and nation to be sucked dry by multi-national spivs while they get fat on back-handers.

The Americans, embarrassed by some of the worst excesses have stopped supplying arms to many of these dictatorships - at least officially. But the good old Israelis were quick to step in to ensure that the arms supplies to murder regimes in South America didn't dry up. Begin and the boys, always on the look-out for a quick shekel, were more than willing to supply the hot lead.

MURKY WATERS

So we can see that the waters of international relations are murky, and the more they are looked into the murkier they get. It is not as black and white as the media manipulators would have us believe and it is absolutely imperative that Britain finds her rightful role in the field of international relations if we are to avoid being pulled into a war which doesn't serve our interests but only the interests of others.

Bearing this in mind, we must pull out of NATO, which is just a front organisation for the defence of Wall Street. We should also disentangle ourselves from all the other internationalist tentacles that bind us to the American Dream.

We must kick out all U.S. bases from our soil, especially since many of them are manned by racial aliens anyway. They have no more right than the Soviets to be here.

All these demands are not just wishful thinking but are imperative to our very survival. This can be seen by looking at the two world wars which we've already endured this century. Twice in the past, when capitalism found itself in crisis it used war as an escape route. In both wars the British and Europeans suffered while only the shysters gained. We must not make the same mistakes again!

Unlike the pacifists, neo-hippies and commies, and to be fair the genuinely misguided, who make up CND, we don't intend to lay on our backs and beg the world not to walk all over us. We shall have our own professional, well trained and supplied armed forces, and our own nuclear deterent. Not huge, but big enough to defend ourselves from the vultures of the Old Orders.

Britain doesn't need to become a military and militarist state to defend herself. What's the point. If that's what we want it would be far simpler to join up with the Soviets. In the end, the best defence of all is for our enemies to know and see that we are a united and proud national community and that to invade would mean fighting the whole population.

FIND OUT ABOUT THE NATIONAL FRONT

Send 50p for information pack to:

NATIONAL FRONT
50 PAWSONS ROAD
CROYDON
SURREY CRO 2QF

Printed and published by N.T. Press, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.